column with dichloromethane-ethyl acetate (9:1) gave 1.51 g of 13 as an analytically pure oil.
$1-\beta$-D-Ribofuranosyl-2,5-pyrrolidinedione (15). Method E. From Compound 2. To a solution of $2(220 \mathrm{mg}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol ( 10 mL ) was added $10 \%$ palladium on activated carbon catalyst ( 44 mg ) under a nitrogen atmosphere. The nitrogen was exchanged with hydrogen and the mixture was stirred at room temperature under a hydrogen atmosphere for 40 min . The catalyst was removed by filtration, and the filtrate was evaporated in vacuo to give colorless crystals of 15, which melted at 113-114 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after recrystallization from methanol-petroleum ether.

Method F. From Compound 22. To a solution of 22 ( 550 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.76 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol ( 17 mL ) was added 6 N aqueous HCl $(1.7 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the solution was stirred for 6 h at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction solution was evaporated in vacuo and then dried under high vacuum to give a viscous brown oil ( 540 mg ). Purification of the oil with preparative TLC (silica; ethyl acetate-methanol, 20:1) provided colorless crystals of 15 . The product was identical with 15 obtained from method $E$ in terms of melting point, mixture melting point, and TLC analysis. The NMR and IR spectra of 15 from the two methods were superimposable.

1-[5-O-Acetyl-2,3- $\boldsymbol{O}$-(1-methylethylidene)- $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ - D -ribo-furanosyl]-3-methyl-1 $H$-pyrrole-2,5-dione (17). Method G. At room temperature, a stirring slurry of the tosylate salt of $16^{23}$ ( $3.61 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in chloroform ( 50 mL ) was reacted with citraconic anhydride ( $1.12 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine $(1.11 \mathrm{~g}$, 11 mmol ). The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h , and then the volatile materials were removed under vacuum to provide a yellow oil. The oil was dissolved in acetic anhydride ( 20 mL ), anhydrous sodium acetate ( 2 g ) was added, and the mixture was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . In the preparation of compound 18 , the sodium acetate was omitted. After the reaction solution cooled to room temperature, ice-water ( 60 mL ) was added and the mixture was stirred for 1 h while cooling with an ice bath. The reaction mixture was dichloromethane extracted ( $30 \mathrm{~mL} \times 5$ ). The combined extracts were shaken with saturated sodium carbonate solution, followed with brine, and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. Solvent evaporation gave a brown oil ( 1.91 g ), which was chromatographed on a silica gel column. Elution with dichloromethane-ethyl acetate (9:1) gave $17(850 \mathrm{mg})$ as an oil, which gave colorless crystals after
$\overline{(28) ~ M o n t e ́ r o, ~ J . ~ L . ; ~ M o r u z z i, ~ A . ; ~ O i r y, ~ J . ; ~ I m b a c h, ~ J . ~ L . ~ E u r . ~ J . ~ M e d . ~}$ Chem. 1977, 12, 397.
standing for several days at room temperature.
1-[5-O-Acetyl-2,3-O-(1-methylethylidene)- $\beta$-D-ribo-furanosyl]-1H-pyrrole-2,5-dione (19). Method H. The procedure of Montero et al. ${ }^{28}$ was used to prepare 2,3-(1-methylethylidene)ribofuranosylamine (16) as an oil in 70-90\% yield from the corresponding tosylate salt. ${ }^{10}$ A solution of $16(1.26 \mathrm{~g}, 6.7$ mmol ) in ether ( 20 mL ) was combined with maleic anhydride ( 0.67 $\mathrm{g}, 6.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with stirring and cooling in an ice bath. The stirring and cooling was maintained for 1 h , during which time a white precipitate separated from solution. Evaporation of the reaction solution at reduced pressure yielded a foam, which was dissolved in acetic anhydride ( 20 mL ) and treated with anhydrous sodium acetate ( 2 g ). The mixture was stirred for 1 h at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then cooled to room temperature, and ice-water ( 60 mL ) was added with stirring and cooling with an ice bath. After 30 min , the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 5)$. The combined extracts were washed with saturated sodium carbonate solution and brine. The dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ dichloromethane solution was evaporated in vacuo to afford a brown oil ( 1.48 g ), which was purified with preparative LC (elution with dichloromethane-ethyl acetate, $19: 1$ ) to give $19(765 \mathrm{mg})$ as a colorless oil, which crystallized after standing at room temperature for several days. Continued elution of the LC column gave a second colorless oil ( 94 mg ) which was the $\alpha$-anomer (20).

Reaction of a slurry of 16 as the tosylate salt in chloroform with maleic anhydride in the presence of triethylamine gave, after workup as described above, $39 \%$ of 19 and $2 \%$ of 20 .

Solution Decomposition of 2-4. A solution of $2(70 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})$ in sterile water ( pH 6.3 ) containing succinimide ( $35 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) as an internal standard was stored in a standard NMR tube at room temperature. At regular intervals ( $t=0,24,48,72$, and 96 h ) NMR spectra were recorded, and the decrease in isoshowdomycin (2) concentration was determined by comparing the integrated areas of the singlet due to the succinimide methylene groups ( $\delta$ 2.8 ) with the singlet ( $\delta 6.9$ ) due to the olefinic protons of 2 . The solution half-life of 2 was determined graphically from a plot of concentration vs. time. The solution decompositions of 3 and 4 were measured in a similar manner.

Acknowledgment. The authors are indebted to Dr. Yoshimasa Uehara for preliminary cytotoxicity evaluations in the early stages of this work and to Dr. James A. Kelley for mass spectral measurements.

# Potential Antitumor Agents. 35. Quantitative Relationships between Antitumor (L1210) Potency and DNA Binding for <br> $4^{\prime}$-(9-Acridinylamino)methanesulfon- $m$-anisidide Analogues 
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Factors influencing dose potency of 4 -(9-acridinylamino)methanesulfon- $m$-anisidide ( $m$-AMSA) analogues in L1210
assays have been investigated by multiple regression analysis. The dependent variable was $D_{20}$, the dose to provide
$40 \%$ life extension in $L 1210$ tests. Independent variables examined were chromatographic $R_{\mathrm{m}}$ values, as a measure
of agent lipophilic-hydrophilic balance; $R_{\mathrm{m}}^{2} ; \log K$, where $K$ is the agent-DNA association constant for poly[d(A-T)];
$\log T_{1 / 2}$, the half-life for congener thiolytic cleavage; and agent $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values. A regression equation containing terms
in $R_{\mathrm{m}}^{2}$ and log $K$ was derived with the latter term accenting the greater proportion of the biological variance. DNA
binding, of acridine substituted $m$-AMSA variants, is the most important factor influencing dose potency. Modeling
of log $K$ for 3-substituted derivatives provided an equation in substituent $\mathcal{R}$ constants and molar refractivities (MR).

During the historical development of the field of quantitative molecular structure-biological activity relationships (QSAR), the almost inevitable lack of knowledge of the strength of interaction between the drug congeners and site of action has required that such interactions be approximated by indirect methods. Within series of substituted drug congeners, changes in drug-site interactions
can sometimes be adequately modeled by employing extrathermodynamic substituent parameters. However, such treatments neglect a major contribution that might be made by QSAR methodology. Normally, the site of drug action is inferred from mode of action studies which implicate a critical enzyme, or other cellular macromolecule, as a possible target. The often formidable logistics asso-
ciated with mode of action studies limits the number of drug congeners which can be so studied and frequently there is examination of a mere single agent, usually that selected for possible clinical trial. Identification of crucial sites by such methods cannot be considered unequivocal. A considerably superior approach would be to show that for a set of congeners, with widely varying levels of biological activity, QSAR methods could demonstrate a quantitative relationship between that activity and the congener association constants for interaction with the putative site.
For the tumor inhibitory agent $4^{\prime}$-(9-acridinylamino)-methanesulfon- $m$-anisidide ( $m$-AMSA; $1, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ ), we have

suggested that DNA might be the site of action. ${ }^{1}$ The recent development of a convenient technique for measuring drug-DNA association constants ${ }^{1}$ coupled with an extensive set of biologically active m-AMSA congeners ${ }^{2}$ together provide an unrivaled opportunity to investigate the above strategy for site identification.

Approach Method. From available L1210 leukemia screening data, two conventionally employed biological responses which could be used in attempted site identification are maximum increase in life span ( $\mathrm{ILS}_{\text {max }}$ ) and the molar dose providing a $40 \%$ increase in life span $\left(D_{40}\right) .^{2}$ The requirement for widely varying levels of biological activity eliminates $\log \mathrm{ILS}_{\text {max }}$ (range ca. 1.4-2.2) from consideration as a dependent variable. For the present study, $\log D_{40}$ (range 3.40-6.38; Table I) is the clearly superior variable.

An additional feature of $m$-AMSA analogues, which could possibly vitiate the results of the above study, is their ready degradation by thiols in vivo. ${ }^{3,4}$ Differing congener susceptibilities to thiolytic cleavage might modulate levels of active drug reaching critical sites and therefore influence the $D_{40}$ values. The rates of thiolytic cleavage of all the agents considered (Table I), in the presence of excess thiol (2-mercaptoethanol), have been measured. For use in the linear free-energy type formalism of QSAR, log (thiolytic cleavage rate) would be a suitable investigatory regression term. As measured, ${ }^{2}$ the kinetics of thiolysis are first order and, therefore, $\log$ (rate) is linearly related to $\log$ (half-life) and the latter, as $\log T_{1 / 2}$ values, may be conveniently employed directly in regression equations. In an earlier study, ${ }^{2}$ with a limited number of examples, $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values proved a significant regression term but were highly covariant with $T_{1 / 2}$ figures. To examine if $T_{1 / 2}$ and $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values continued covariant within a larger data set and also which was the pertinent variable, the later have been included in the presence analysis. As before, $R_{\mathrm{m}}$ values from reversed-phase partition chromatography have been used as measures of agent lipophilic-hydrophilic balance. For
(1) Cain, B. F.; Baguley, B. C.; Denny, W. A. J. Med. Chem. 1978, 21, 658.
(2) Denny, W. A.; Atwell, G. J.; Cain, B. F. J. Med. Chem. 1979, 22, 1453; see also references quoted therein.
(3) Cain, B. F.; Wilson, W. R.; Baguley, B. C. Mol. Pharmacol. 1976, 12, 1027.
(4) Wilson, W. R.; Cain, B. F.; Baguley, B. C. Chem.-Biol. Interact. 1977, 18, 163.
a series of standard compounds, $R_{\mathrm{m}}$ and $\log P$ (1-octa-nol-water) values are related by eq $1 .{ }^{2}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\log P=2.00( \pm 0.15) R_{\mathrm{m}}+0.51( \pm 0.10)  \tag{1}\\
n=21, r=0.99, s=0.21, F_{1,19}=678
\end{gather*}
$$

The agent association constants ( $K$ ) measured with the synthetic, double-stranded DNAs poly[d(A-T)] and poly-[d(G-C)], as well as calf thymus DNA, proved highly covariant; the lowest correlation coefficient ( $r$ ) for linear correlations between the $\log K$ values for any two of these DNAs was 0.95 . Equivalent regression equations result from the use of the $\log K$ values for any of the DNAs examined. Accordingly, association constants for binding to poly[d(A-T)] only are provided (Table I) and are those used in regression analyses.
Sigma values for the various ether derivatives 28-31, whose additional functionality is isolated from the ether oxygen by aliphatic components, have been assumed equal to that of the stem molecule 27. Similarly, for the 4 carboxamido variants $34-41$, the $\sigma_{\mathrm{m}}$ value assumed is that of the methylcarboxamide 33. Scrutiny of the $\sigma$-responsive $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values for these various compounds supports these assignments.

## Results

A cross correlation matrix of all measured drug properties proposed as independent variables is shown in Table II. The stepwise development summarized in Table III provided eq 2. The residuals provided in Table I were $\log \left(1 / D_{40}\right)=$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1.32( \pm 0.35) \log K-2.64( \pm 1.14) R_{\mathrm{m}}^{2}-2.34  \tag{2}\\
& n=48, r=0.80, s=0.44, F_{2,45}=39.0
\end{align*}
$$

calculated from this equation. A $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ term entered only at the $10 \%$ significance level.
Normally drug toxicity, as $\mathrm{LD}_{10}$, is notoriously difficult to model, but in the present series, employing the measured drug physicochemical properties used in the $D_{40}$ analysis, a similar equation resulted (eq 3). Steps in the $\log \left(1 / L D_{10}\right)=$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1.00( \pm 0.29) \log K-2.04( \pm 0.94) R_{\mathrm{m}}^{2}-1.28  \tag{3}\\
& n=47, r=0.77, s=0.36, F_{2,44}=33.0
\end{align*}
$$

development of this equation are provided in Table IV. One compound (30) had to be deleted from this analysis since the $L D_{10}$ dose could not be reached.

Further extension to the modeling of a chemotherapeutic index for these agents $\left[\log \left(\mathrm{LD}_{10} / D_{40}\right)\right]$ then proved possible (eq 4). In this case, $R_{\mathrm{m}}$ rather than $R_{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{2}$ was entered

$$
\begin{align*}
& \log \left(\mathrm{LD}_{10} / D_{40}\right)= \\
& 0.39( \pm 0.23) \log K-0.39( \pm 0.30) R_{\mathrm{m}}-1.42  \tag{4}\\
& \quad n=47, r=0.50, s=0.28, F_{2,44}=7.2
\end{align*}
$$

as the significant second variable (Table V).
Modeling of Drug Physiochemical Properties. Investigation of the possible underlying features associated with covariance of $\log T_{1 / 2}$ and $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values (see Table II) first utilized the subset of 3 -monosubstituted $m$-AMSA analogues (2-19). For these compounds, $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ is understandably highly correlated with $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}$ (eq 5) and appreciably less well fit by $\sigma_{\mathrm{m}}$ values.
compounds 2-19

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}=-2.41( \pm 0.20) \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}+7.42  \tag{5}\\
n=18, r=0.99, s=0.19, F_{1,16}=577.2
\end{gather*}
$$

Table I. Structural and Physiochemical Details for the m.AMSA Analogues Considered

| no. | R | $R_{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\sigma^{c}$ | $\log K^{d}$ | $T_{1 / 2}{ }^{e}$ | $\mathrm{LD}_{10}{ }^{f}$ | $\log \left(1 / D_{40}\right)^{g}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | obsd | calcd | diff |
| $2^{h}$ | H | 0.18 | 7.43 | 0.00 | 5.57 | 13.2 | 9.0 | 5.29 | 4.93 | 0.36 |
| $3^{\text {h }}$ | $3-\mathrm{NHCOCH}_{3}$ | 0.07 | 7.56 | 0.00 | 6.24 | 23.6 | 22.5 | 5.98 | 5.88 | 0.10 |
| $4^{i}$ | $3 \cdot \mathrm{~N}={ }^{j}$ | -0.14 | 5.53 | $(0.78){ }^{k}$ | 5.09 | 2.01 | 125 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 0 |
| $5{ }^{i}$ | $3 \cdot \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 0.06 | 9.92 | -0.66 | 6.21 | 1285 | 8 | 5.52 | 5.85 | -0.33 |
| $6^{i}$ | 3. $\mathrm{NHCOOCH}_{3}$ | 0.25 | 7.77 | -0.15 | 6.37 | 35.1 | 11 | 5.48 | 5.90 | -0.42 |
| $7^{k}$ | $3 \cdot \mathrm{NHCH}_{3}$ | 0.17 | 10.05 | -0.84 | 6.17 | 1956 | 1.7 | 6.38 | 5.73 | 0.65 |
| $8^{i}$ | $3 \cdot \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | 0.10 | 5.72 | 0.78 | 5.65 | 1.9 | 4.83 | 5.38 | 5.09 | 0.29 |
| $9^{l}$ | $3 \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.44 | 7.70 | -0.17 | 5.95 | 32.6 | 7 | 5.52 | 5.00 | 0.52 |
| $10^{l}$ | $3 \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.56 | 7.65 | -0.15 | 5.66 | 29 | 40 | 4.77 | 4.30 | 0.47 |
| $11^{l}$ | $3 \cdot \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | 0.68 | 7.66 | -0.15 | 5.46 | 28.3 | 450 | 3.40 | 3.65 | -0.25 |
| $12^{i}$ | $3 \cdot \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 0.29 | 7.81 | -0.27 | 5.83 | 51.3 | 6 | 5.66 | 5.13 | 0.53 |
| $13^{i}$ | $3 \cdot \mathrm{~F}$ | 0.31 | 7.05 | 0.06 | 5.54 | 6.34 | 26 | 5.07 | 4.72 | 0.35 |
| $14^{l}$ | $3 \cdot \mathrm{Cl}$ | 0.32 | 6.84 | 0.23 | 6.06 | 4.6 | 13 | 5.42 | 5.39 | 0.03 |
| $15^{l}$ | $3 \cdot \mathrm{Br}$ | 0.34 | 6.84 | 0.23 | 6.29 | 4.4 | 17 | 5.36 | 5.66 | -0.30 |
| $16^{l}$ | $3 \cdot 1$ | 0.41 | 6.77 | 0.18 | 6.35 | 4.5 | 15 | 5.56 | 5.60 | 0.04 |
| $17^{i}$ | $3 \cdot \mathrm{CN}$ | 0.06 | 5.94 | 0.66 | 5.65 | 1.83 | 75 | 4.26 | 5.11 | -0.85 |
| $18^{m}$ | $3 \cdot \mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ | -0.41 | 6.66 | 0.36 | 5.66 | 3.57 | 110 | 4.83 | 4.69 | 0.14 |
| $19^{l}$ | $3 \cdot \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | 0.54 | 6.24 | 0.54 | 5.24 | 2.26 | 130 | 4.17 | 3.81 | 0.36 |
| $20^{k}$ | $2 \cdot \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | -0.15 | 7.37 | -0.16 | 5.95 | 233 | 8 | 5.87 | 5.45 | 0.42 |
| $21^{i}$ | $2 \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.40 | 7.45 | -0.07 | 5.35 | 32.9 | 300 | 3.69 | 4.30 | -0.61 |
| $22^{i}$ | $2 \cdot \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | 0.66 | 7.40 | -0.07 | 5.39 | 49.7 | 480 | 3.58 | 3.62 | -0.04 |
| $23^{i}$ | 2.F | 0.32 | 6.71 | 0.34 | 5.28 | 10.3 | 90 | 4.73 | 4.36 | 0.37 |
| $24^{i}$ | $2 \cdot \mathrm{I}$ | 0.36 | 6.60 | 0.35 | 5.70 | 6.32 | 170 | 3.67 | 4.84 | -1.17 |
| $25^{m}$ | $4 \cdot \mathrm{~N}={ }^{j}$ | -0.07 | 6.09 | $(0.71)^{k}$ | 5.27 | 1.12 | 70 | 4.41 | 4.60 | -0.19 |
| $26^{m}$ | $4 \cdot \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 0.19 | 7.39 | 0.12 | 5.94 | 13.4 | 5 | 5.34 | 5.41 | -0.07 |
| $27^{m}$ | $4 \cdot \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.43 | 7.37 | 0.10 | 5.77 | 13.1 | 27 | 4.80 | 4.79 | -0.01 |
| $28^{m}$ | $4 \cdot \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | 0.10 | 7.36 | (0.10) | 5.74 | 14.8 | 12 | 5.41 | 5.21 | 0.20 |
| $29^{m}$ | $4 \cdot \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | -0.09 | 7.30 | (0.10) | 5.90 | 11.4 | 23 -500 | 5.60 | 5.43 | 0.17 |
| $30^{m}$ 31 m | $4 \cdot \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CONHCH}_{3}$ | $-0.02$ | 7.35 | (0.10) | 5.80 | 10.8 | > 500 | 5.51 | 5.31 | 0.20 |
| $31^{m}$ | $4 \cdot \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ | -0.15 | 7.36 | (0.10) | 5.74 | 10.9 | 25 | 5.26 | 5.18 | -0.08 |
| $32^{m}$ | $4 \cdot \mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ | -0.27 | 6.37 | 0.28 | 5.47 | 2.52 | 42 | 4.65 | 4.69 | -0.04 |
| $33^{m}$ | $4 \cdot \mathrm{CONHCH}_{3}$ | 0.06 | 6.36 | 0.35 | 5.54 | 3.01 | 9.5 | 5.23 | 4.96 | 0.27 |
| $34^{m}$ | $4 \cdot \mathrm{CON}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | 0.09 | 6.16 | (0.35) | 5.04 | 3.29 | 24 | 4.74 | 4.29 | 0.45 |
| $35^{i}$ | $4 \cdot \mathrm{CON} \cdot{\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}^{n}}$ | -0.18 | 6.03 | (0.35) | 4.96 | 3.55 | 200 | 3.91 | 4.12 | -0.21 |
| $36^{m}$ | $4-\mathrm{CONH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.47 | 6.36 | (0.35) | 5.40 | 2.89 | 28 | 4.38 | 4.20 | 0.18 |
| $37^{i}{ }^{i}$ | $4 \cdot \mathrm{CONH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | -0.16 | 6.27 | (0.35) | 5.42 | 3.29 | 40 | 5.11 | 4.75 | 0.36 |
| $38^{i}$ | $4-\mathrm{CONH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ | -0.15 | 6.30 | (0.35) | 5.40 | 3.37 | 80 | 4.84 | 4.73 | 0.11 |
| $39^{i}$ | $4 \cdot \mathrm{CONHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CHOHCH}_{3}$ | 0.09 | 6.20 | (0.35) | 5.34 | 2.94 | 60 | 5.53 | 4.69 | 0.84 |
| $40^{m}$ | $4-\mathrm{CONHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CHOHCH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | -0.36 | 6.34 | (0.35) | 5.26 | 2.84 | 115 | 4.52 | 4.26 | 0.26 |
| $41^{m}{ }^{\text {m }}$ | $4 \cdot \mathrm{CONHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ | -0.50 | 6.18 7.39 | (0.35) | 5.39 | 3.91 | 25 | 4.65 | 4.11 | 0.54 |
| $42^{\prime}{ }^{\text {m }}$ | $4 \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.25 | 7.39 | -0.07 | 6.03 | 11.1 | 8.5 | 5.74 | 5.45 | 0.29 |
| $43^{m}$ | $4 \cdot\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CONCH}_{2}$ | -0.17 | 7.15 | (-0.07) | 5.65 | 8.44 | 13.5 | 5.56 | 5.04 | 0.52 |
| $44^{\text {m }}{ }^{\text {i }}$ | $4 \cdot \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 0.45 | 7.02 | 0.06 0.34 | 5.60 | 3.20 | 190 | 3.92 | 4.52 | -0.60 |
| $45^{i}$ | $4 \cdot \mathrm{~F}$ | 0.21 | 6.33 | 0.34 | 5.65 | 4.28 | 23 | 5.10 | 5.00 | 0.10 |
| $46^{i}$ | $4 \cdot \mathrm{Cl}$ | 0.23 | 6.11 | 0.37 | 5.76 | 2.42 | 44 | 4.43 | 5.12 | -0.69 |
| $47^{i}$ | $4 \cdot \mathrm{Br}$ | 0.25 | 6.09 | 0.39 | 5.57 | 2.36 | 80 | 4.50 | 4.85 | -0.35 |
| $48^{i}$ | $4 \cdot \mathrm{CN}$ | -0.03 | 4.87 | 0.56 | 5.01 | 3.78 | 100 | 4.08 | 4.27 | -0.19 |
| $49^{l}$ | $4 \cdot \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | 0.09 | 5.05 | 0.71 | 5.20 | 2.81 | 90 | 3.90 | 4.50 | -0.60 |

[^0]Table II. Squared Correlation Matrix for Variables Examined with Log ( $1 / D_{40}$ )

|  | $\log$ <br> $\left(1 / \mathrm{LD}_{10}\right)$ | log |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $K$ | $R_{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{2}$ | $R_{\mathrm{m}}$ | $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ | $T_{1 / 2}$ |  |
| $\log \left(1 / D_{40}\right)$ | 0.81 | 0.47 | 0.18 | 0.04 | 0.23 | 0.17 |
| $\log \left(1 / \mathrm{LD}_{10}\right)$ | 1 | 0.44 | 0.18 | 0.01 | 0.21 | 0.16 |
| $\log K$ | 1 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.43 | 0.26 |  |
| $R_{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{2}$ |  | 1 | 0.41 | 0.04 | 0.01 |  |
| $R_{\mathrm{m}}$ |  |  | 1 | 0.09 | 0.04 |  |
| $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.78 |

Equation 5 can be effectively extended to cover the 2 and 4 -substituted derivatives if $\sigma_{\mathrm{m}}$ values for these are

Table III. Stepwise Development of Multivariable Equations for Log (1/D $D_{40}$ )

| inter. <br> cept | $\log$ <br> $K$ | $R_{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{2}$ | $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ | $R_{\mathrm{m}}$ | $r$ | $s$ | $F_{1(x)}{ }^{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -2.71 | 1.35 |  |  |  | 0.68 | 0.52 | $40.6(46)$ |
| -2.34 | 1.32 | -2.64 |  |  | 0.80 | 0.44 | $20.4(45)$ |
| -1.88 | 1.04 | -2.92 | 0.17 |  | 0.81 | 0.43 | $3.2^{b}$ |
| -2.29 | 1.10 | -2.24 | 0.18 | -0.42 | 0.82 | 0.42 | $1.9^{b}$ |

employed (eq 6). In this equation $\sigma=\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}$ for 3 -substituted and $\sigma_{\mathrm{m}}$ for 2 - and 4 -substituted variants.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}=-2.58( \pm 0.25) \sigma+7.31  \tag{6}\\
n=48, r=0.95, s=0.29, F_{1,46}=397.6
\end{gather*}
$$

$\log T_{1 / 2}$ is also clearly $\sigma$ dependent, and for the 3-monosubstituted compounds 2-19:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\log T_{1 / 2}=-1.87( \pm 0.32) \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}+1.32  \tag{7}\\
n=18, r=0.947, s=0.30, F_{1,16}=133
\end{gather*}
$$

This poorer correlation, in relation to that seen with $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ (eq 5), is not due to scatter in the experimental data, since a plot of $\log T_{1 / 2}$ vs. $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}$ is a smooth curve (Figure 1). Further investigation of the thiolytic cleavage reaction (unpublished observations) shows that the critical interaction is between agent cation and thiol anion. Identical conclusions were reached by Wild and Young ${ }^{5}$ in an examination of the thiolysis of certain 9 -aminoacridine derivatives with hydrosulfide anion. Since the $m$-AMSA agents have $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values in the physiological range, correction for agent ionization is then warranted. Such ion-ization-corrected $\log T_{1 / 2}$ values then show a straight-line relationship with $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}$ values (Figure 2), and an excellent regression equation (8) can be derived.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\log T_{1 / 2}+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]+K_{\mathrm{a}}}=-2.80( \pm 0.17) \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}+0.99  \tag{8}\\
n=18, r=0.993, s=0.15, F_{1,16}=1076
\end{gather*}
$$

In this equation, $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] /\left(\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]+K_{\mathrm{a}}\right)=\alpha$, the fraction of ionized agent.

As with the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{g}}$ correlation, by employment of $\sigma_{\mathrm{m}}$ for 2 - and 4 -substituents this regression equation can be extended to all compounds in the data set (Table I) for which $\sigma$ values can be obtained (eq 9 ).

$$
\begin{gather*}
\log T_{1 / 2}+\log \alpha= \pm 3.07(-0.08) \sigma+0.96  \tag{9}\\
\quad n=48, r=0.95, s=0.32, F_{1,46}=509
\end{gather*}
$$

It may be noted that, since $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ is a $\sigma$-dependent variable (eq 6), $K_{\mathrm{a}}$ in eq 8 may effectively be replaced by an exponential term in $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}$, a quite complex overall equation in $\sigma$ then resulting. There have been hesitations about employing more complex functions of $\sigma$ in QSAR derivations, but the above example suggests that these may in certain circumstances be quite justifiable.

Earlier ${ }^{6}$ we modeled the DNA-association constants of a series of simple anilino-ring substituted 9 -anilinoacridines in terms of two fundamental substituent properties, $\sigma$ and MR. In continuation, we have attempted to model the association constants for the acridine-substituted analogues in the present work. The greatest range of structural types is seen within the 3 -substituted set (Table I), these also being of the greatest biological interest, and attempted modeling of $\log K$ has therefore been restricted to this group. Again, $\sigma$ and MR appear to be the dominant variables (Table VI).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log K=-0.34( \pm 0.34) \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}+0.036( \pm 0.031) \mathrm{MR}+5.56 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
n=18, r=0.68, s=0.30, F_{2,15}=6.5
$$

However, if our drug-site model for these agents is correct, with the acridine moiety intercalated into the DNA stack and the 9 -anilino function residing in the minor
(5) Wild, F.; Young, J. M. J. Chem. Soc. 1965, 7261.
(6) Part 34: Baguley, B. C.; Denny, W. A.; Atwell, G. J.; Cain, B. F. J. Med. Chem. 1981, 24, 170.

Table IV. Stepwise Development of Multivariable Equation for $\log \left(1 / L D_{10}\right)$

| inter. <br> cept | $\log$ <br> $K$ | $R_{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{2}$ | $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ | $r$ | $s$ | $F_{1(x)}{ }^{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -1.55 | 1.01 |  |  | 0.66 | 0.42 | $35.0(45)$ |
| -1.28 | 1.00 | -2.04 |  | 0.77 | 0.36 | $17.9(44)$ |
| -0.94 | 0.79 | -2.26 | 0.13 | 0.79 | 0.35 | $2.7^{b}(43)$ |

${ }^{a, b}$ See corresponding footnotes in Table III.
Table V. Stepwise Development of Multivariable Equation for $\log \left(\mathrm{LD}_{10} / D_{40}\right)$

| inter- <br> cept | $\log K$ | $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ | $R_{\mathrm{m}}$ | $r$ | $s$ | $F_{1(x)}{ }^{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.11 | -0.33 |  |  | 0.37 | 0.30 | 7.2 |
| 1.42 | -0.39 |  | 0.39 | 0.50 | 0.28 | 6.4 |
| 1.33 | -0.32 | -0.05 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.28 | $0.6^{b}$ |

${ }^{a, b}$ See corresponding footnotes in Table III.
Table VI. Squared Correlation Matrix for Variables in Analysis of Log $K$ for $3 \cdot$ Substituted $m$-AMSA Subset

|  | $\log K$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}$ |  | R | MR | $\pi$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\log \left(1 / D_{40}\right)$ | 0.55 | 0.24 | 0.03 | 0.41 | 0.02 | 0.17 |
| $\log K$ | 1 | 0.27 | 0.06 | 0.38 | 0.33 | 0.05 |
| $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}$ |  | 1 | 0.71 | 0.80 | 0.08 | 0.02 |
| $\mathcal{F}^{2}$ |  |  | 1 | 0.26 | 0.09 | 0.00 |
| R |  |  |  | 1 | 0.04 | 0.05 |
| MR |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.00 |



Figure 1. Relationship between agent half-lives for thiolytic cleavage ( $T_{1 / 2}$ ) and Hammett's $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}$ constants.
groove, ${ }^{6}$ then substituent space about groups on the anilino ring or the acridine 3 position are quite different in nature. Accordingly, we felt that examination of the role played by field $(7)$ and resonance $(\mathscr{R})$ components of $\sigma_{p}$ values for acridine 3 -substituents, where these groups reside in the intercalation site, could be of interest. $\mathcal{R}$ values, in fact, accepted a significantly greater proportion of the variance in $\log K$ values than did $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}$ (Table VI), and an $\mathscr{F}$ term failed to prove significant. Equation 11 was used to calculate the residuals in Table VII.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log K=-0.71( \pm 0.46) \mathcal{R}+0.037( \pm 0.026) \mathrm{MR}+5.42 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
n=18, r=0.76, s=0.27, F_{2,15}=10.5
$$

Table VII. Extrathermodynamic Parameters ${ }^{a}$ Employed for Modeling Drug-DNA Association Constants (K) of 3.Substituted $m$ •AMSA Analogues

| no. | $3 \cdot$ substit in 1 | 7 | a | MR | $\pi$ | $\log K^{b}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | obsd | calcd | diff |
| 2 | H | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.03 | 0.00 | 5.57 | 5.46 | 0.11 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{NHCOCH}_{3}$ | 0.28 | -0.26 | 14.93 | -0.97 | 6.24 | 6.16 | 0.08 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{N}=$ | 0.67 | 0.16 | 0.50 | -1.34 | 5.09 | 5.32 | -0.13 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 0.02 | -0.68 | 5.42 | -1.23 | 6.21 | 6.10 | 0.11 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{NHCOOCH}_{3}$ | 0.14 | -0.28 | 15.53 | -0.33 | 6.37 | 6.19 | 0.18 |
| 7 | $\mathrm{NHCH}_{3}$ | -0.11 | -0.74 | 10.33 | -0.47 | 6.17 | 6.33 | -0.16 |
| 8 | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | 0.67 | 0.16 | 7.36 | -0.28 | 5.65 | 5.58 | 0.07 |
| 9 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | -0.04 | -0.13 | 5.65 | 0.56 | 5.95 | 5.72 | 0.23 |
| 10 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | -0.05 | $-0.10$ | 10.30 | 1.02 | 5.66 | 5.87 | -0.21 |
| 11 | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | -0.06 | -0.08 | 14.96 | 1.53 | 5.46 | 6.03 | -0.57 |
| 12 | $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 0.26 | -0.51 | 7.87 | -0.02 | 5.83 | 6.07 | -0.24 |
| 13 | F | 0.43 | -0.34 | 0.92 | 0.14 | 5.54 | 5.70 | -0.16 |
| 14 | Cl | 0.41 | -0.15 | 6.03 | 0.71 | 6.06 | 5.75 | 0.31 |
| 15 | Br | 0.44 | -0.17 | 8.88 | 0.86 | 6.29 | 5.87 | 0.42 |
| 16 | I | 0.40 | -0.19 | 13.94 | 1.12 | 6.35 | 6.07 | 0.28 |
| 17 | CN | 0.51 | 0.19 | 6.33 | -0.57 | 5.65 | 5.52 | 0.13 |
| 18 | $\mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ | 0.24 | 0.14 | 9.81 | -1.49 | 5.66 | 5.68 | -0.02 |
| 19 | $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | 0.38 | 0.19 | 5.02 | 0.88 | 5.24 | 5.47 | -0.23 |

[^1]

Figure 2. Relationship between $T_{1 / 2}$ values corrected for the effects of partial ionization and Hammett's $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}$ constants. Fraction ionized $=\alpha=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] /\left(\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]+K_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$.

The lack of dependence on $\mathcal{F}$ values contrasts with the earlier analysis of DNA binding of anilino-ring substituted variants ${ }^{6}$ and the regression equations derived for $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\log T_{1 / 2}$ in this work (eq 5 and 8). In these three cases both $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ terms enter into regression equations with closely similar coefficients, strongly suggestive of $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}$ dependence, and fail to provide significant improvement over the use of this parameter aone.

Regression equation terms in $\pi$, hopefully modeling hydrophobic contacts in the intercalation site, could not be demonstrated to be of significance. Unfortunately, the lack of an adequate range of $E_{3}$ values, for the groups involved, prevented simple examination of the steric role of 3 -substituents, presumed important within the close confines of a DNA intercalation site.

## Discussion

The demonstration of a quantitative relationship between agent-DNA association constants and dose potency, for acridine-ring substituted $m$-AMSA variants, provides strong supportive evidence that DNA is the site of action of these agents.

There is a clear dependence on $R_{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{2}$, while $R_{\mathrm{m}}$ is not significantly accepted into regression equations. This results from the optimum $R_{\mathrm{m}}$ value being close to zero.
For equations dealing with both $D_{40}$ and $\mathrm{LD}_{10}$, the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values and half-lives for agent thiolytic cleavage ( $T_{1 / 2}$ ) play no significant role. It is not known whether the high collinearity between $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}, \log T_{1 / 2}, \log K$, and $\sigma$ could mask a possible dependence of $D_{40}$ or $\mathrm{LD}_{10}$ on $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}, \log T_{1 / 2}$, or $\sigma$ additional to that of $\log K$.
Animal toxicity, as provided by $L D_{10}$ values, appears responsive to the same factors as $D_{40}$. However, the successful modeling of the chemotherapeutic index $\mathrm{LD}_{10} / D_{40}$ (eq 4) shows that as agent-DNA binding increases, selectivity toward the tumor population increases more rapidly than does toxicity. The negative dependence on $R_{\mathrm{m}}$ sug. gests that hydrophilic agents are likely to prove more effective.
By our proposed site model, ${ }^{6}$ the 9 -anilino ring of the AMSA agents lies in the minor groove of DNA, with the 1 'substituent located above a phosphodiester group and acridine 3 -substituents lying within the confines of the intercalation site. It is then intriguing to find that attempted modeling of drug-DNA association constants clearly demonstrates the differences in substituent space about these two positions, with binding shown responsive ${ }^{6}$ to $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}$ for $1^{\prime}$ - but to $R$ values for 3 -substituents.
The location of $1^{\prime}$-substituents and the 9 -anilino ring to which they are attached, in close proximity to site anions, will likely ensure that site anion-substituent dipole interactions will play a significant role. ${ }^{6}$ We earlier illustrated that the component dipole vectors $(\mu \cos \theta)$ of $1^{\prime}$ substituents through the $1^{\prime}-4^{\prime}$ axis of the 9 -anilino function are linearly related to the corresponding $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}$ values. ${ }^{6}$ A binding dependence on $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}$ values for $1^{\prime}$-substituents would then be in good accord with the physical realities of our site model. In contrast, the sandwich-like nature of intercalation complexes appears admirably suited for extensive orbital overlap of the intercalated chromophore and the purine-pyrimidine base pairs. It could be hypothesized

Table VIII. Analytical Details for Previously
Unreported Compounds in Table I

| no. | $\mathrm{mp},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | formula | anal. ${ }^{a}$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | $240-242$ | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Cl}$ |
| 12 | $209-210$ | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Cl}$ |
| 13 | $286-288$ | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{HCl} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Cl}$ |
| 17 | $200-203$ | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{HCl} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Cl}$ |
| 21 | $276-278$ | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Cl}$ |
| 22 | $280-281$ | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Cl}$ |
| 23 | $221-223$ | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$ |
| 24 | $288-290$ | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{IN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{I}$ |
| 35 | $194-196$ | $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{HBr}$ | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Br}$ |
| 37 | $282-283$ | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Cl}$ |
| 38 | $252-253$ | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Cl}$ |
| 39 | $212-215$ | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{HCl} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Cl}$ |
| 45 | $295-296$ | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$ |
| 46 | $268-269$ | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{ClN}{ }_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{HCl} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Cl}$ |
| 47 | $263-265$ | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{Br} \mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{S} \cdot \mathrm{HCl} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Br}$ |
| 48 | $256-257$ | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Cl}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Analyses for the indicated elements were within $\pm 0.4 \%$ of the theoretical values for the formula provided.
that acridine 3 -substituents contribute to DNA binding by resonance ( $\mathscr{R}$ ) effects which modulate pertinent molecular orbital energies.

## Experimental Section

Where analyses are indicated only by symbols of the elements, analytical results obtained for those elements were within $\pm 0.4 \%$ of the theoretical values for the formula quoted. Analyses were performed by Dr. A. D. Campbell, Microchemical Laboratory, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand. Melting points were determined on an Electrothermal melting point apparatus with the marker's supplied stem-corrected thermometer; melting points are as read. NMR spectra were obtained on a Varian A-60 spectrometer ( $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ ). IR spectra ( KBr ) were recorded using a Beckmann 237 Infracord. UV spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-200.

To monitor the progress of reactions, purification of products, etc., TLC on $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ (Merck $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ ) was used. $R_{\mathrm{m}}$ values were determined by the partition chromatographic methods detailed in ref 12 and are the mean of at least four determinations.
(7) Atwell, G. J.; Cain, B. F.; Denny, W. A. J. Med. Chem. 1977, 20, 520.
(8) Cain, B. F.; Atwell, G. J.; Denny, W. A. J. Med. Chem. 1975, 18, 1110.

Agent-DNA association constants were determined by the ethidium-displacement technique exactly as described earlier. ${ }^{6}$
$T_{1 / 2}$ and $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values were measured in $20 \%$ aqueous DMF solution employing the conditions described in full earlier. ${ }^{2,13}$

Preparation of the new agents listed in Table I followed the general procedures detailed earlier. ${ }^{8,11}$
2-[(4-Isopropylphenyl)amino]benzoic acid was prepared by the modified Jourdan-Ullmann conditions evolved earlier ${ }^{8}$ employing 4 -isopropylaniline and 2 -chlorobenzoic acid. Workup as before ${ }^{8}$ afforded the desired product in $41 \%$ yield as pale-yellow needles from $\mathrm{HOAC}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{185-186}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)$ $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
2-Isopropyl-9(10H)-acridone was prepared by polyphosphate ester ring closure of the aforementioned product as before. ${ }^{8,11}$ Reaction at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h provided clean conversion to the acridone, pure product being obtained as yellow needles from HOAc: $87 \%$ yield; mp $261-263{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}$ ) C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

The 4-carboxamido variants 35 and 37-39 were prepared from the previously described ${ }^{9} 4^{\prime}$-[9-[4-[(4-nitrophenoxy)carbonyl]-acridinyl]amino]methanesulfon- $m$-anisidide by suspension of the latter in DMF ( $4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{g}$ ) and then addition to the stirred mixture of the necessary amine component ( 1.05 molar equiv) followed by $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( 1.05 molar equiv). Homogeneous solutions rapidly resulted, and when TLC monitoring demonstrated complete conversion the mixture was acidified with a slight excess of HOAc followed by slow addition of $20 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaCl}(20 \%$ aqueous NaBr for 35 ) until turbid. Once crystallization had initiated, excess of the salt solution was added to complete separation. Recrystallization was as before ${ }^{8,11}$ to provide the products listed in Table I.
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# Structure-Activity Relationship in Cinnamamides. 3. ${ }^{1}$ Synthesis and Anticonvulsant Activity Evaluation of Some Derivatives of ( $E$ ) - and ( $Z$ )-m-(Trifluoromethyl)cinnamamide 

A. Balsamo, P. Crotti, A. Lapucci, B. Macchia,* F. Macchia,<br>Istituti di Chimica Farmaceutica e di Chimica Organica dell'Universitã di Pisa, 56100 Pisa, Italy

A. Cuttica, and N. Passerini

Ricerca Suiluppo Biologico, Farmitalia-Carlo Erba, 20159 Milano, Italy. Received August 8, 1980
The ( $E$ )- and ( $Z$ )-m-(trifluoromethyl)- $\alpha, \beta$-dimethylcinnamamides and some of their $N$-alkyl derivatives were prepared and pharmacologically tested as anticonvulsant agents in order to verify if a ring substituent, like the $m-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ group, different from a halogen but possessing the same electronic effect could lead to equally active compounds. Some ( $E$ )- $m$-(trifluoromethyl)- $\alpha$-methyl- and -non-methyl-substituted-cinnamamides were also prepared and tested. In the $\alpha, \beta$-dimethyl series the results show that the $m$ - $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ group leads to products more active than the ones unsubstituted on the phenyl ring but still less active than the $p$-halogen-substituted compounds previously studied. In the $\alpha$-methyl and non-methyl-substituted series, the trend shows the $m-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ group being able to produce less toxic and, in some cases, more active products than the previously studied amides.

A previous paper in this series ${ }^{2}$ showed that a series of ( $E$ )- and ( $Z$ )- $N$-alkyl- $\alpha, \beta$-dimethylcinnamamides exhibited
a clear activity on the central nervous system. The $E$ isomer revealed CNS-depressant and anticonvulsant ac-


[^0]:    ${ }^{a} R_{\mathrm{m}}=\log \left(1 / R_{\mathrm{f}}-1\right)$. Measure of agent lipophilic-hydrophilic balance from reverse phase partition chromatography; see ref 12. ${ }^{b}$ Acridine $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ measured UV spectrophotometrically; see ref 13. ${ }^{c} \sigma$ is $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}$ for acridine 3 substituents and $\sigma_{\mathrm{m}}$ for 2 and 4 -substituents. Values taken from ref 10. Values assumed by analogy are provided in parentheses. ${ }^{d} K$ is the agent association constant measured for binding to poly[d(A-T)]; see text. ${ }^{e} T_{1 / 2}$ is the half-life of the agent in the presence of excess 2 -mercaptoethanol under standard conditions; see ref 2. Assumed values are provided in parentheses. ${ }^{f}$ Lethal dose for $10 \%$ of treated animals on average; obtained by the methods of ref $12 .{ }^{g} D_{40}$ is the molar dose of agent providing a $40 \%$ increase in the life span of leukemic mice, obtained by the methods of ref 12: obsd, the figure observed; calcd, that calculated from eq 2; diff, the difference between observed and calculated. $h$ Reference 2. ${ }^{i}$ New compound; see Table VIII for details. ${ }^{j}$ Aza homologue; $-\mathrm{N}=$ replacing ring $-\mathrm{C}=. \quad \sigma$ values taken to be that of a $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ group. ${ }^{k}$ Reference 7. ${ }^{l}$ Ref. erence 8. ${ }^{m}$ Reference 9. ${ }^{n} \mathrm{NcC}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}$ used as an abbreviation for the morpholide.

[^1]:    ${ }^{a}$ Parameter values have been taken from the compilation of ref 10 . The $\sigma_{p}$ values employed are provided in Table I.
    ${ }^{b}$ Calculated values obtained from eq 11.

